

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/19/2000 09/692,303 Ann Kerstin B.K. Lindell AC02736US 5740 7590 12/28/2005 **EXAMINER** Joan M McGillycuddy FLETCHER III, WILLIAM P Akzo Nobel Inc ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER Intellectual Property Department 7 Livingstone Avenue 1762 Dobbs Ferry, NY 10522-3408

DATE MAILED: 12/28/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/692,303	LINDELL ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	William P. Fletcher III	1762	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
REPLY FILED 06 December 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION	FOR ALLOWANCE.	

Advisory Action	09/692,303	LINDELL ET AL.		
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit		
•	William P. Fletcher III	1762		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence addi	ress	
THE REPLY FILED <u>06 December 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THI		•		
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. 				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO				
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	•	·) and the engrapsists outs	oncion foo havo	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brie	f, will not be entered I	because	
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	•			
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	itter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):				
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:				
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: 10 and 15.				
Claim(s) rejected: <u>6-9,11-14,16 and 17</u> .				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered				
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	wit or other evidence	is necessary	
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 of PTO-1449) Paper No(s).				
13. Other:		WPF 12/12/0		
SUPE	TIMOTHY MEEKS RVISORY PATENT EXAMINER	William Phillip Flet Patent Examiner, L Group Art Unit 176	JSPTO	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Applicant's arguments regarding the distinction between a coating and an adhesive is noted, but are not persuasive.

There is nothing of record to indicate that the definition of a coating must be limited to the description supplied by the attached reference.

The statement that a coating "must adhere to only one substrate" does not mean that a coating cannot also adhere to another substrate. Broadly speaking, a coating that adheres to an underlying substrate is also capable of adhering to an overlying substrate of the same material as the underlying one. As a commonplace example of this, the examiner has just now adhered two pieces of paper together using liquid correction fluid, a composition that is not conventionally considered an "adhesive."

Further, the "performance properties" discussed in the attached reference are also noted. Nevertheless, the claims are not limited by any recitation of the performance properties of the coating, so this is not commensurate in scope with the claims.

The examiner's position remains the same as set-forth in prior Office actions: because the composition of Karim covers the surface of the substrate, it is a coating.